# <u>NietzschandtheCrisisofModernity</u>

Nietzscheliagnosea particulaconflicbetweerourwilltotruthandourwilltomeaning whiche claims on stitutes ecentra crisis of modernity. The will to truth preventus from constructing etypes of mythological arrative (for instance arrative of divine creation and God sprovidential lan) which formerly served to give meaning to existence hence thence dto find existence meaning fullemains unfulfilled. This leaves us with two possibilities Dispense with the demand for existential heaning or createnew narrative shat can somehow eithere vade or be reconciled with the demand soft he will to truth.

# Partl: The Problem of Meaning

# 1. The Secular Theodic Reading

DanielCameandothershavearguedthatNietzschenayusefullybeseenasattempting throughoutiscareertoreconcilethefollowincplaims:

- A. Theworldinevitablycontaina preponderance fsuffering verhappiness
- B. The world is a worth pobject of affirmation

Thisis a reading that emphasise bietzsches responding oSchopenhauer.

# 2. Argumentagains the Secular Theodic Reading

The Temporality Problem Nietzscheseemst och aracterize im selfasthediagnosticizo fa problem that is particulate modernity a problem that only come sproperly into focus with the death of (belief in) God. But the problem of sufferings, as Schopenhau prutsit, not time specific sufferings an inevitable consequence f (human) nature.

TextuaProblem1: Nietzschexplicitlyaysthatman sproblemisnotsufferinguttheof 5...

Wagner|iketheRomantic&e.g.Häderlin),wasexerciseprimarilybytheproblemof meaningandnottheproblemof suffering

# 3. The Romanti Reading

- A: Our will to truth precludes is from believing those illusions which are necessary ogive life meaning.
- B: We have a basioneed to find life meaningful.

This romantice ading explains why Nietzschies a philosophe of the problem of modernity; it is he who the matise the claim that with the loss of Godweeventually ometolose meaning.

# 4. A RefinemenoftheneedformeaningthesisTheDescriptiveersusNormativeeading

The quotation from GMIII 28 above might suggest that Nietzsche as an essentialisch aim that manis the animal that needstofindexistentiarheaning.

Thegenerior oblems that generall Wietzsche eemstoresistall kindofessentialism.

The particular problem is that Nietzschallows that there is a kind of human, one he calls the last man who rejects the call for existentia the aning:

Therewill come a time when man will no longer launchest he arrow of his longing beyond man .. "What is love? What is creation? What is longing? What is a star?" so askst helast manand blinks. Thus Spoke arathust for longuest

ActuallyNietzschdoesnotthinkitispartofhumannaturdoseekmeanindbutratherhe believesthathumansshouldseeka meanindbeyondthemselves:

[t]heindividuamustbe

Cultur  $\{$ Kultur $\}$  the rule of artoverlife. (1)1 9[310]). This proposition must be established we live only through  $\{$ Illusionen $\}$   $\{$ Uusionen $\}$   $\{$ Uusionen $\}$